

To: **Ex. 4 - CBI** [redacted] [redacted]echlawinc.com]
From: Lindsey, Deborah
Sent: Tue 11/14/2017 6:49:41 PM
Subject: Fwd: Ames Warehouse data - qs from ATSDR

Ex. 4 - CBI [redacted]

Could you provide any response on ATSDR's questions on TICs

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Werner, Lora" <Werner.Lora@epa.gov>
Date: November 8, 2017 at 11:48:41 AM EST
To: "Lindsey, Deborah" <Lindsey.Deborah@epa.gov>, "Duffy, Jessica" <Duffy.Jessica@epa.gov>
Cc: "Markiewicz, Karl" <Markiewicz.Karl@epa.gov>, "Helverson, Robert" <Helverson.Robert@epa.gov>
Subject: Ames Warehouse data - qs from ATSDR

Hi Deb and Jessica!

Deb, my ER folks told me the attachment you sent me yesterday was weather for the sample dates, not the maps of locations? Is this something you have yet? (I confess I did not open I just forwarded since I was on the road to Harrisburg). Lat and long would work. If we could get it for the OH samples as well, that would be helpful.

The other thing we are interested in is whether the lab saw any tentatively identified compounds (TICs) and didn't report them because they could not verify their identity? Given the products the company says they provided, we should see some of those like in that sample from CTEH. It may be things like the pentane, butane, and alcohols are actually TICs, but they aren't designated as such. Some of those are only in OH's data. It may be, by the time these samples were taken, those TICs may have burned off. Could we chat with you guys about that?

Do we know if we are going to get any SVOC data? A fire this energetic should have gotten PAHs into the air. If not, we may be able to use the ash samples to deal with that issue.

Thank you!! Lora

Sent from my iPhone